# EMBEDDING THEOREMS AND INTEGRATION OPERATORS ON BERGMAN SPACES WITH RAPIDLY DECREASING WEIGHTS. 

JORDI PAU AND JOSÉ ÁNGEL PELÁEZ


#### Abstract

We completely describe those positive Borel measures $\mu$ in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ such that the Bergman space $A^{p}(w) \subset L^{q}(\mu), 0<p, q<$ $\infty$, where $w$ belongs to a large class $\mathcal{W}$ of rapidly decreasing weights which includes the exponential weights $w_{\alpha}(r)=\exp \left(\frac{-1}{(1-r)^{\alpha}}\right), \alpha>0$, and some double exponential type weights.

As an application of that result, we characterize the boundedness and the compactness of $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w), 0<p, q<\infty, w \in \mathcal{W}$, where $T_{g}$ is the integration operator $$
\left(T_{g} f\right)(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) g^{\prime}(\zeta) d \zeta .
$$

The particular choice of the weight $w_{\alpha}(r)$ answers an open question posed by A. Aleman and A. Siskakis. We also describe those analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ for which $T_{g}$ belongs to the Schatten $p$-class of $A^{2}(w)$, $0<p<\infty$.


## 1. Introduction and main results

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disc in the complex plane, $d m(z)=\frac{d x d y}{\pi}$ be the normalized area measure on $\mathbb{D}$, and denote by $H(\mathbb{D})$ the space of all analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$. A positive function $w(r), 0 \leq r<1$, which is integrable in $(0,1)$, will be called a weight function. We extend $w$ to $\mathbb{D}$ setting $w(z)=w(|z|)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

For $0<p<\infty$, the weighted Bergman space $A^{p}(w)$ is the space of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p} w(z) d m(z)<\infty .
$$
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Note that $A^{p}(w)$ is the closed subspace of $L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, w d m)$ consisting of analytic functions. If $w(r)=(1-r)^{\alpha}, \alpha>-1$, the standard Bergman spaces $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ are obtained.

In this work we are going to study Carleson measures and integration operators on Bergman spaces with rapidly decreasing weights, that is, weights that are going to decrease faster than any standard weight $(1-r)^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$. Concretely, we consider a decreasing weight of the form $w(z)=e^{-\varphi(z)}$, where $\varphi \in C^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ is a radial function such that $\Delta \varphi(z) \geq B_{\varphi}>0$ for some positive constant $B_{\varphi}$ depending only on the function $\varphi$. Here $\Delta$ denotes the standard Laplace operator.

We will assume that $(\Delta \varphi(z))^{-1 / 2} \asymp \tau(z)$, where $\tau(z)$ is a radial positive function that decreases to 0 as $|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}$, and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \tau^{\prime}(r)=0$.

Furthermore, we shall also suppose that either there exist a constant $C>0$ such that $\tau(r)(1-r)^{-C}$ increases for $r$ close to 1 or

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \tau^{\prime}(r) \log \frac{1}{\tau(r)}=0
$$

If the weight $w$ satisfies all the previous conditions, we shall say that the weight $w$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{W}$. The class $\mathcal{W}$ includes (see Section 7) the exponential type weights

$$
w_{\gamma, \alpha}(r)=(1-r)^{\gamma} \exp \left(\frac{-c}{(1-r)^{\alpha}}\right), \quad \gamma \geq 0, \alpha>0, c>0
$$

and the double exponential weights

$$
w(r)=\exp \left(-\gamma \exp \left(\frac{\beta}{(1-r)^{\alpha}}\right)\right), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma>0
$$

For the weights $w$ considered in this paper, the point evaluations $L_{a}$ at the point $a \in \mathbb{D}$, are bounded linear functionals on $A^{2}(w)$. Therefore, there are reproducing kernels $K_{a} \in A^{2}(w)$ with $\left\|L_{a}\right\|=\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}$ such that

$$
L_{a} f=\left\langle f, K_{a}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) \overline{K_{a}(z)} w(z) d m(z), \quad f \in A^{2}(w)
$$

Some basic properties of the Bergman spaces $A^{p}(w), w \in \mathcal{W}$, are not yet well-understood and have attracted some attention in recent years. The interest in such spaces arises from the fact that the usual techniques for the standard Bergman spaces fail to work in this context. For example, the natural Bergman projection

$$
\operatorname{Pf}(a)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) \overline{K_{a}(z)} w(z) d m(z), \quad a \in \mathbb{D}
$$

is not necessarily bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, w d m)$ if $p \neq 2$ (see [9]). This carries that the dual spaces of $A^{p}(w), w \in \mathcal{W}$ have not been identified.

Let $X$ be an space of analytic functions on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. A positive Borel measure $\mu$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be a $q$-Carleson measure for $X$ if the embedding $X \subset L^{q}(\mu), 0<q<\infty$, is continuous. After the pioneering works of L. Carleson (see [7] and [8]), there has been a great amount of research on this topic, and these measures have found many applications in other related areas. A description of those measures have been obtained for several spaces of analytic functions (see e.g. [5], [11], [14] and [19]). Here we obtain a complete description of the $q$-Carleson measures for $A^{p}(w), 0<p, q<\infty$, for weights $w$ in the class $\mathcal{W}$.

Let $D(a, r)$ be the Euclidean disc centered at $a$ with radius $r>0$, and for easy of notation, for any $\delta>0$ we write $D(\delta \tau(a))$ for the disc $D(a, \delta \tau(a))$.

Theorem 1. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and let $\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{D}$.
(I) Let $0<p \leq q<\infty$.
(a) The embedding $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)$ is bounded if and only if for each sufficiently small $\delta>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mu, w}:=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{\tau(a)^{2 q / p}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z)<\infty . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if any of the two equivalent conditions holds, then

$$
K_{\mu, w} \asymp\left\|I_{d}\right\|_{A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)}^{q} .
$$

(b) The embedding $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)$ is compact if and only if for each sufficiently small $\delta>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sup _{|a|>r} \frac{1}{\tau(a)^{2 q / p}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z)=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) Let $0<q<p<\infty$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)$ is compact;
(b) $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)$ is bounded;
(c) For each sufficiently small $\delta>0$, the function

$$
z \mapsto \frac{1}{\tau(z)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(z))} w(\zeta)^{-q / p} d \mu(\zeta)
$$

belongs to $L^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\mathbb{D}, d m)$.
For the standard Bergman spaces $A_{\alpha}^{p}$, the statement of Theorem 1 also holds, and in that case the condition can be simplified (see [17, Theorem 2.2] for the case $0<p \leq q<\infty$, and [20] for the case $0<q<p<\infty)$. Related results can be found in [21] and [22].

The above result can be used in order to study several related questions. Here we put our attention on the operators $T_{g}$ defined by

$$
\left(T_{g} f\right)(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) g^{\prime}(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

where $g$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$. The boundedness and compactness of $T_{g}$ on classical spaces has attracted a lot of attention in recent years (see [2], [3] for Hardy spaces, [4], [10], [25] for weighted Bergman spaces, and [13], [14] for Dirichlet type spaces). We also mention the surveys [1] and [27] for an account of results and open questions on the operator $T_{g}$. Note that as special choices of the symbol $g$ one gets several important operators: the Volterra operator $\left(T_{g}\right.$ with $\left.g(z)=z\right)$ and the Cesáro operator ( $T_{g}$ with $g(z)=\log (1 /(1-z)))$. In particular, A. Aleman and A. Siskakis proved in [4] the following result.

Theorem A. Suppose that $w$ is a weight satisfying the following conditions: (P1) There is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{w(r)} \int_{r}^{1} w(s) d s \leq C(1-r) .
$$

( $P 2$ ) There are $s \in(0,1)$ and a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
w(s r+1-s) \geq C w(r), \quad 0<r<1 .
$$

Then for $1 \leq p<\infty T_{g}$ is bounded (compact) on $A^{p}(w)$ if and only if $g$ belongs to the Bloch space (little Bloch space).

Recall that an analytic function $f$ in $\mathbb{D}$ belongs to the Bloch space if $\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty$, and $f$ belongs to the little Bloch space if $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}(1-|z|)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=0$.

The large class of radial weights $w$ satisfying conditions $(P 1)$ and $(P 2)$ of Theorem A includes the standard weights $w(r)=(1-r)^{\alpha}, \alpha>-1$, but excludes the exponential ones

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\alpha}(r)=\exp \left(\frac{-c}{(1-r)^{\alpha}}\right), \quad c>0, \alpha>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(they do no satisfy condition $(P 2)$ ). In relation with the exponential weights, A. Aleman and A. Siskakis in [4] proved that, for $1 \leq p<\infty, T_{g}$ is bounded on $A^{p}\left(w_{\alpha}\right)$ if

$$
(1-|z|)^{\alpha+1}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|=O(1), \quad \text { as } \quad|z| \rightarrow 1
$$

and the operator $T_{g}$ is compact on $A^{p}\left(w_{\alpha}\right)$ whenever the corresponding "little oh"condition holds, and raised the open problem of whether this condition is necessary for the boundedness (compactness) of $T_{g}$. A positive answer for $p=2, c>0$ and $\alpha \in(0,1]$ has been given recently by Dostanić in [10] by using precise and specific techniques which involve the exponential weight defined in (1.3). In this paper we shall give a positive answer to that question (see Theorem 2 below). Indeed, we completely describe the boundedness and compactness of $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w), 0<p, q<\infty$, for weights $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

In the proof of Theorem A given in [4], two facts play an essential role. First, for some $0<s<1$ the composition operator induced by the symbol
$\psi_{s}(z)=s z-s+1$ is bounded on $A^{p}(w)$. Since this does not remain true for rapidly decreasing weights such that $w_{\alpha}$ (see [15, Theorem 1.1]) their method cannot be applied in our case. The second key step in the proof of Theorem A consists of proving that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in A^{p}(w) \Leftrightarrow(1-|z|)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \in L^{p}(w), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

consequently it will be useful for our class of weights to establish a result analogous to (1.4) replacing the quantity $(1-|z|)$ for a suitable distortion function. So, following Siskakis [26], for a given weight $w$, we define the distortion function of $w$ by

$$
\psi_{w}(r)=\frac{1}{w(r)} \int_{r}^{1} w(u) d u, \quad 0 \leq r<1
$$

The next result is the case $q=p$ of Theorem 1.1 of [24].
Theorem B. Suppose that $w$ is a differentiable weight, and there is $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0<r<1} \frac{w^{\prime}(r)}{w(r)^{2}} \int_{r}^{1} w(x) d x \leq L \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for each $p \in(0, \infty)$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|g(z)|^{p} w(z) d m(z) \asymp|g(0)|^{p}+\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} \psi_{w}(z)^{p} w(z) d m(z) .
$$

It is clear that condition (1.5) is satisfied for any decreasing differentiable weight.

Finally, we are going to state the promised result about the description of the boundedness and compactness of $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w), 0<p, q<\infty$, $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Theorem 2. Let $0<p, q<\infty, g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi(z) \asymp\left((1-|z|)^{t} \psi_{w}(z)\right)^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \text { for some } t \geq 1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(I) (a) $T_{g}$ is bounded on $A^{p}(w)$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \psi_{w}(z)\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty
$$

(b) $T_{g}$ is compact on $A^{p}(w)$ if and only if

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sup _{|z|>r} \psi_{w}(z)\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|=0 .
$$

(II) Let $0<p<q<\infty$. Then $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w)$ is bounded if and only if $g$ is constant.
(III) Let $0<q<p<\infty$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w)$ is compact;
(b) $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w)$ is bounded;
(c) The function $g \in A^{r}(w)$, where $r=\frac{p q}{p-q}$.

The corresponding result for the standard Bergman spaces $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ can be found in [4] and [25]. The particular choice of the exponential weight $w_{\alpha}$ defined in (1.3) solves the problem posed in [4, p.353], since the distorsion function of $w_{\alpha}$ is comparable to $(1-|z|)^{1+\alpha}$ (see e.g. [26, Example 3.2]).

If $T$ is a compact operator on a separable Hilbert space $H$, then there exist orthonormal sets $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ in $H$ such that

$$
T x=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle \sigma_{n}, \quad x \in H
$$

where $\lambda_{n}$ is the $n$th singular value of $T$.
Given $0<p<\infty$, let $\mathcal{S}_{p}(H)$ denote the Schatten $p$-class of operators on $H$. The class $\mathcal{S}_{p}(H)$ consists of those compact operators $T$ on $H$ with its sequence of singular numbers $\lambda_{n}$ belonging to $\ell^{p}$, the $p$-summable sequence space. It is also well known that, if $\lambda_{n}$ are the singular numbers of an operator $T$, then

$$
\lambda_{n}=\lambda_{n}(T)=\inf \{\|T-K\|: \operatorname{rank} K \leq n\}
$$

Thus finite rank operators belong to every $\mathcal{S}_{p}(H)$, and the membership of an operator in $\mathcal{S}_{p}(H)$ measures in some sense the size of the operator. It is also clear that the use of two equivalent norms in $H$ does not change the class $\mathcal{S}_{p}(H)$. In the case when $1 \leq p<\infty, \mathcal{S}_{p}(H)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|T\|_{p}=\left(\sum_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

while for $0<p<1$ we have the following inequality

$$
\|T+S\|_{p}^{p} \leq\|T\|_{p}^{p}+\|S\|_{p}^{p}
$$

We refer to [28] for more information about $\mathcal{S}_{p}(H)$.
In [3], using a result D. Luecking [18] concerning the Schatten classes of certain Toeplitz operators, (see also [2] and [16] for related results), a description of those $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which $T_{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}\left(A_{\alpha}^{2}\right)$ is obtained. In this paper we give a direct proof of the following result.

Theorem 3. Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfying (1.6).
(a) If $1<p<\infty$ then $T_{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}\left(A^{2}(w)\right)$ if and only if

$$
\psi_{w}\left|g^{\prime}\right| \in L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, \Delta \varphi d m)
$$

(b) If $0<p \leq 1$ then $T_{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}\left(A^{2}(w)\right)$ if and only if $g$ is constant.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to some preliminaries needed for the proofs of the main results. In Section 3 we construct the test functions which are used in the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 4. We prove Theorem 2 in Section 5 and Theorem 3 in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7 several examples of weights $w$ in the class $\mathcal{W}$ are given.

Throughout the paper, the letter $C$ will denote an absolute constant whose value may change at different occurrences. We also use the notation $a \lesssim b$ to indicate that there is a constant $C>0$ with $a \leq C b$, and the notation $a \asymp b$ means that $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we present some previous results, that can be of independent interest, which are needed to prove the main results.

Let $\tau$ be a positive function on $\mathbb{D}$. We say that $\tau \in \mathcal{L}$ if there exist constants $c_{0}>0$ and $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau(z) \leq c_{0}(1-|z|) \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{D} ;  \tag{2.1}\\
|\tau(z)-\tau(\zeta)| \leq c_{1}|z-\zeta|, \quad \text { for } \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we will always use the notation

$$
m_{\tau}=\frac{\min \left(1, c_{0}^{-1}, c_{1}^{-1}\right)}{4}
$$

where $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ are the constants in (2.1) and (2.2).
Lemma 1. Suppose that $\tau \in \mathcal{L}, 0<\delta \leq m_{\tau}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Then,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \tau(a) \leq \tau(z) \leq 2 \tau(a) \quad \text { if } \quad z \in D(\delta \tau(a))
$$

Proof. Note that, by condition (2.2) we have

$$
\tau(a) \leq \tau(z)+c_{1}|z-a| \leq \tau(z)+\frac{1}{4} \tau(a) \quad \text { if } \quad|z-a| \leq \delta \tau(a)
$$

Therefore $\tau(a) \leq 2 \tau(z)$ if $|z-a| \leq \delta \tau(a)$. Similarly it can be proved that $\tau(z) \leq 2 \tau(a)$.

The following result, where the fact that $|f(z)|^{p} w(z)$ verifies a certain sub-mean-value property is proved, will play an essential role in the proof of the main theorems of this paper.

Lemma 2. Let $\varphi$ be a subharmonic function, $w=e^{-\varphi}$, and let $\tau \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\tau(z)^{2} \Delta \varphi(z) \leq c_{2}$ for some constant $c_{2}>0$. If $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $M \geq 1$ such that

$$
|f(a)|^{p} w(a)^{\beta} \leq \frac{M}{\delta^{2} \tau(a)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))}|f(z)|^{p} w(z)^{\beta} d m(z)
$$

for all $0<\delta \leq m_{\tau}$ and $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$.
Proof. By Green's formula we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{|\zeta-a|=r} \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta=r \varphi(a)+\frac{r}{2} \int_{|z-a| \leq r} \Delta \varphi(z) \log \frac{r}{|z-a|} d m(z) .
$$

Integrating the last identity between zero and $\delta \tau(a)$, using that $\tau(z)^{2} \Delta \varphi(z) \leq$ $c_{2}$, and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\tau(a)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} & \varphi(\zeta) d m(\zeta) \\
& \leq \delta^{2} \varphi(a)+\frac{c_{2}}{\tau(a)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\delta \tau(a)} \int_{|z-a| \leq r} \tau(z)^{-2} \log \frac{r}{|z-a|} d m(z) r d r \\
& \leq \delta^{2} \varphi(a)+\frac{4 c_{2}}{\tau(a)^{4}} \int_{0}^{\delta \tau(a)} \int_{|z-a| \leq r} \log \frac{r}{|z-a|} d m(z) r d r \\
& =\delta^{2} \varphi(a)+\frac{2 c_{2}}{\tau(a)^{4}} \int_{0}^{\delta \tau(a)} r^{3} d r \\
& =\delta^{2} \varphi(a)+\frac{c_{2} \delta^{4}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So if $\beta>0$, rewriting the previous equation in terms of the weight $w$, and multiplying by $\beta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log w(a)^{\beta} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^{2} \tau(a)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} \log w(\zeta)^{\beta} d m(\zeta)+\log M \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $M=\exp \left(\beta c_{2} \delta^{2} / 2\right) \geq 1$. Also, the subharmonicity of $\log |f|$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |f(a)|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^{2} \tau(a)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} \log |f(z)|^{p} d m(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, adding equations (2.4) and (2.3), and using the arithmetic-geometric inequality we get the desired result.

If $\beta \leq 0$, then $w^{\beta}$ is a logarithmic subharmonic function, so (2.3) holds with $M=1$, and arguing as in the previous case the conclusion is obtained. This finishes the proof.

We note that if a weight $w$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{W}$, then its associated function $\tau(z)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}$. Thus Lemma 2 proves that for weights $w$ in the class $\mathcal{W}$, the point evaluations $L_{a}$ are bounded linear functionals on $A^{p}(w)$. Another consequence is that norm convergence implies uniform convergence on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. It follows that for $w \in \mathcal{W}$, the space $A^{p}(w)$ is complete.

The next result proves that the weights in the class $\mathcal{W}$ decrease faster than the standard weights $(1-|z|)^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$.
Lemma 3. Consider a weight of the form $w(z)=e^{-\varphi(z)}$, where $\varphi \in C^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ is a radial function with $(\Delta \varphi(z))^{-1 / 2} \asymp \tau(z)$, and $\tau(z)$ is a radial positive differentiable function that decreases to 0 as $|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}$. If $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \tau^{\prime}(r)=0$, then

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{w(z)}{\tau(z)^{\alpha}}=0
$$

for each $\alpha>0$.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $\varphi(0)=\varphi^{\prime}(0)=0$. Since

$$
\frac{w(z)}{\tau(z)^{\alpha}}=e^{-\varphi(z)-\alpha \log \tau(z)}
$$

it is enough to show that

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\varphi(z)}{\log \frac{1}{\tau(z)}}=+\infty
$$

Write $r=|z|$. Use the fact that $\tau^{\prime}(r)$ is negative in some interval $\left(r_{0}, 1\right)$, the formula

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{r} s \Delta \varphi(s) d s
$$

and the assumption $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \tau(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \tau^{\prime}(r)=0$, to obtain

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(r) \geq C \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{s d s}{\tau(s)^{2}} \geq C \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\left(-\tau^{\prime}(s)\right) d s}{\tau(s)^{2}} \geq \frac{C}{\tau(r)}
$$

for $r$ close to 1 . This, together with Bernouilli-l'Hôpital theorem gives

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\log \frac{1}{\tau(r)}}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r) \tau(r)}{-\tau^{\prime}(r)} \geq \lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{C}{-\tau^{\prime}(r)}=+\infty
$$

This finishes the proof.
The following lemma on coverings is due to Oleinik, see [21].
Lemma C. Let $\tau$ be a positive function in $\mathbb{D}$ in the class $\mathcal{L}$, and let $\delta \in$ $\left(0, m_{\tau}\right)$. Then there exists a sequence of points $\left\{z_{j}\right\} \subset \mathbb{D}$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $z_{j} \notin D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right), j \neq k$.
(ii) $\bigcup_{j} D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)=\mathbb{D}$.
(iii) $\tilde{D}\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right) \subset D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)$, where $\tilde{D}\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{z \in D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)} D(\delta \tau(z))$, $j=1,2, \ldots$
(iv) $\left\{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)\right\}$ is a covering of $\mathbb{D}$ of finite multiplicity $N$.

## 3. Test functions

It is known that having an appropriate family of test functions in an space of analytic functions $X$ can be a good help in order to characterize the $q$-Carleson measures for $X$. In this section we will do the job for the spaces $A^{p}(w)$. The following result, partially proved in [6], will be a key in the proof of Theorem 1 .

Lemma 4. Assume that $0<p<\infty, n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ with $n p \geq 1$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Then, there is a number $\rho_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that for each $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $|a| \geq \rho_{0}$, there is a function $F_{a, n, p}$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{a, n, p}(z)\right|^{p} w(z) \asymp 1 \quad \text { if } \quad|z-a|<\tau(a) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{a, n, p}(z)\right| w(z)^{1 / p} \lesssim \min \left(1, \frac{\min (\tau(a), \tau(z))}{|z-a|}\right)^{3 n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $1 \leq p<\infty$, and $n=1$ the result follows directly from Lemma 3.3 in [6]. Now, if $0<p<\infty$ and $n p \geq 1$ applying the previous case, we have

$$
\left|F_{a, 1, n p}(z)\right|^{n p} w(z) \asymp 1 \quad \text { if } \quad|z-a|<\tau(a),
$$

and

$$
\left|F_{a, 1, n p}(z)\right| w(z)^{\frac{1}{n p}} \lesssim \min \left(1, \frac{\min (\tau(a), \tau(z))}{|z-a|}\right)^{3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

That is, if we choose $F_{a, n, p}=F_{a, 1, n p}^{n}$

$$
\left|F_{a, n, p}(z)\right|^{p} w(z) \asymp 1 \quad \text { if } \quad|z-a|<\tau(a),
$$

and

$$
\left|F_{a, n, p}(z)\right| w(z)^{1 / p} \lesssim \min \left(1, \frac{\min (\tau(a), \tau(z))}{|z-a|}\right)^{3 n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

This finishes the proof.
As an immediate consequence of that Lemma, as it is noticed also in [6], we get an estimate for the reproducing kernels of $A^{2}(w)$.

Corollary 1. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}$. There is a number $\rho_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that
(i) for $0<p<\infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ with $n p \geq 1$ the function $F_{a, n, p}$ in Lemma 4 belongs to $A^{p}(w)$ with

$$
\left\|F_{a, n, p}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{p} \asymp \tau(a)^{2}, \quad \rho_{0} \leq|a|<1 .
$$

(ii) the reproducing kernel $K_{a}$ of $A^{2}(w)$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2} w(a) \asymp \tau(a)^{-2}, \quad \rho_{0} \leq|a|<1 .
$$

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\rho_{0} \leq|a|<1$, and consider the functions $F_{a, n, p}$ obtained in Lemma 4. Write

$$
R_{k}(a)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}: 2^{k-1} \tau(a)<|z-a| \leq 2^{k} \tau(a)\right\}, k=1,2 \ldots
$$

Note that (3.1) gives

$$
\int_{|z-a|<\tau(a)}\left|F_{a, n, p}(z)\right|^{p} w(z) d m(z) \asymp \tau(a)^{2}
$$

and, by (3.2) and the fact that $3 n p>2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|z-a|>\tau(a)}\left|F_{a, n, p}(z)\right|^{p} w(z) d m(z) & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{R_{k}(a)}\left|F_{a, n, p}(z)\right|^{p} w(z) d m(z) \\
& \lesssim \tau(a)^{3 n p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{R_{k}(a)} \frac{d m(z)}{|z-a|^{3 n p}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n p k} m\left(R_{k}(a)\right) \\
& \lesssim \tau(a)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $F_{a, n, p} \in A^{p}(w)$ with $\left\|F_{a, n, p}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{p} \asymp \tau(a)^{2}$, which gives (i).
The use of Lemma 2 (with $\beta=1$ ) gives the upper estimate of (ii),

$$
\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2} w(a) \lesssim \tau(a)^{-2} .
$$

On the other hand, the functions $F_{a, 1,2}$ obtained from the previous Lemma satisfy (by (i)) that $F_{a, 1,2} \in A^{2}(w)$ with $\left\|F_{a, 1,2}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2} \asymp \tau(a)^{2}$, and by (3.1) this gives

$$
\left|F_{a, 1,2}(a)\right|^{2} \asymp w(a)^{-1} \asymp\left(w(a) \tau(a)^{2}\right)^{-1}\left\|F_{a, 1,2}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2} .
$$

Since $\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}=\left\|L_{a}\right\|$, where $L_{a}$ is the point evaluation functional at the point $a$, this proves the lower estimate of (ii).

Proposition 1. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}, 0<p<\infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq$ $\max \{1 / p, p\}$. If $\rho_{0}$ is the number given in Lemma 4 and $\left\{z_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is the sequence from Lemma C, the function

$$
F(z)=\sum_{z_{k}:\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}} a_{k} \frac{F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 / p}}
$$

belongs to $A^{p}(w)$ for every sequence $\left\{a_{k}\right\} \in \ell^{p}$. Moreover,

$$
\|F\|_{A^{p}(w)} \lesssim\left(\sum_{k}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Proof. In what follows, we shall write

$$
F(z)=\sum_{z_{k}:\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}} a_{k} \frac{F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 / p}}=\sum_{k} a_{k} \frac{F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 / p}}
$$

If $0<p \leq 1$, then bearing in mind Corollary 1 , we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{p} & =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\sum_{k} a_{k} \frac{F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 / p}}\right|^{p} w(z) d m(z) \\
& \leq \sum_{k} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}}\left\|F_{z_{k}, n, p}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{p} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p>1$, an application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(z)|^{p} \leq \sum_{k} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 p}}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{\frac{p(n-p+1)}{n}}\left(\sum_{k} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{p / n}\right)^{p-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{p / n} \lesssim \frac{\tau(z)^{2}}{w(z)^{1 / n}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (3.4), note first that using the estimate (3.1), Lemma 1 and (iv) of Lemma C, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\left\{z_{k} \in D\left(z, \delta_{0} \tau(z)\right)\right\}} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{p / n} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{w(z)^{1 / n}} \sum_{\left\{z_{k} \in D\left(z, \delta_{0} \tau(z)\right)\right\}} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2} \lesssim \frac{\tau(z)^{2}}{w(z)^{1 / n}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, an application of (3.2) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\left\{z_{k} \notin D\left(z, \delta_{0} \tau(z)\right)\right\}} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{p / n} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\tau(z)^{3 p}}{w(z)^{1 / n}} \sum_{\left\{z_{k} \notin D\left(z, \delta_{0} \tau(z)\right)\right\}} \frac{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}}{\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{3 p}} \\
&=\frac{\tau(z)^{3 p}}{w(z)^{1 / n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z_{k} \in R_{j}(z)} \frac{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}}{\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{3 p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
R_{j}(z)=\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}: 2^{j} \delta_{0} \tau(z)<|\zeta-z| \leq 2^{j+1} \delta_{0} \tau(z)\right\}, j=0,1,2 \ldots
$$

Now observe that, using (2.2), it is easy to see that, for $j=0,1,2, \ldots$,

$$
D\left(z_{k}, \delta_{0} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right) \subset D\left(z, 5 \delta_{0} 2^{j} \tau(z)\right) \quad \text { if } \quad z_{k} \in D\left(z, 2^{j+1} \delta_{0} \tau(z)\right)
$$

This fact together with the finite multiplicity of the covering (see Lemma C) gives

$$
\sum_{z_{k} \in R_{j}(z)} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2} \lesssim m\left(D\left(z, 5 \delta_{0} 2^{j} \tau(z)\right)\right) \lesssim 2^{2 j} \tau(z)^{2}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\left\{z_{k} \notin D\left(z, \delta_{0} \tau(z)\right)\right\}} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{p / n} & \lesssim \frac{\tau(z)^{3 p}}{w(z)^{1 / n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z_{k} \in R_{j}(z)} \frac{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}}{\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{3 p}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{w(z)^{1 / n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 p j} \sum_{z_{k} \in R_{j}(z)} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\tau(z)^{2}}{w(z)^{1 / n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(2-3 p) j} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\tau(z)^{2}}{w(z)^{1 / n}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (3.5), proves (3.4).
Now, joining (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$
\|F\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{p} \leq \sum_{k} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{\frac{p(n-p+1)}{n}} \tau(z)^{2 p-2} w(z)^{\frac{n-p+1}{n}} d m(z) .
$$

So, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{\frac{p(n-p+1)}{n}} \tau(z)^{2 p-2} w(z)^{\frac{n-p+1}{n}} d m(z) \lesssim \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 p} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain the desired estimate

$$
\|F\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{p} \leq \sum_{k}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p} .
$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\left|z-z_{k}\right|<\tau\left(z_{k}\right)} & \left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{\frac{p(n-p+1)}{n}} \tau(z)^{2 p-2} w(z)^{\frac{n-p+1}{n}} d m(z)  \tag{3.7}\\
& \asymp \int_{\left|z-z_{k}\right|<\tau\left(z_{k}\right)} \tau(z)^{2 p-2} d m(z) \asymp \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 p} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, using (2.2), it follows that

$$
\tau(z) \leq C 2^{j} \tau\left(z_{k}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad\left|z-z_{k}\right|<2^{j} \tau\left(z_{k}\right) .
$$

Thus, since $n \geq p$, bearing in mind (3.2), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left|z-z_{k}\right| \geq \tau\left(z_{k}\right)}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{\frac{p(n-p+1)}{n}} \tau(z)^{2 p-2} w(z)^{\frac{n-p+1}{n}} d m(z) \\
& \lesssim \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{3 p(n-p+1)} \int_{\left|z-z_{k}\right| \geq \tau\left(z_{k}\right)} \frac{\tau(z)^{2 p-2}}{\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{3 p(n-p+1)}} d m(z) \\
& \lesssim \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{3 p(n-p+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j} \tau\left(z_{k}\right) \leq\left|z-z_{k}\right|<2^{j+1} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)} \frac{\tau(z)^{2 p-2}}{\left|z-z_{k}\right|^{3 p(n-p+1)}} d m(z) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 j p(n-p+1)} \int_{2^{j} \tau\left(z_{k}\right) \leq\left|z-z_{k}\right|<2^{j+1} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)} \tau(z)^{2 p-2} d m(z) \\
& \lesssim \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j p(3 n-3 p+1)} \lesssim \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (3.7) gives (3.6). This finishes the proof.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

4.1. Proof of (I): boundedness. Suppose first that $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)$ is bounded. It is enough to deal with the case $|a| \geq \rho_{0}$, where $\rho_{0} \in(0,1)$ is the number given in Lemma 4. For $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $|a| \geq \rho_{0}$, consider the function $F_{a, n_{0}, p}$ obtained in Lemma 4, where $n_{0}$ is the smallest natural number such that $n_{0} p \geq 1$. By Corollary 1 , we have $\left\|F_{a, n_{0}, p}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{p} \asymp \tau(a)^{2}$. Then, using the estimate (3.1) of Lemma 4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z) & \lesssim \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))}\left|F_{a, n_{0}, p}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|F_{a, n_{0}, p}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z) \\
& \lesssim\left\|I_{d}\right\|_{A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)}^{q}\left\|F_{a, n_{0}, p}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{q} \\
& \lesssim\left\|I_{d}\right\|_{A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)}^{q} \tau(a)^{\frac{2 q}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

proving that $K_{\mu, w} \leq C\left\|I_{d}\right\|_{A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)}^{q}$.
Conversely, suppose that (1.1) holds. This implication was proved by Oleinik in [21], but we give a proof here for the sake of completeness. Using

Lemma C, Lemma 2 and Lemma 1, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{q} d \mu(z) \leq \sum_{j} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)}|f(z)|^{q} d \mu(z)  \tag{4.1}\\
& \lesssim \sum_{j} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\tau(z)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(z))}|f(\zeta)|^{p} w(\zeta) d m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} w(z)^{-\frac{q}{p}} d \mu(z) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j}\left(\int_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)}|f(\zeta)|^{p} w(\zeta) d m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)} \frac{w(z)^{-\frac{q}{p}} d \mu(z)}{\tau(z)^{\frac{2 q}{p}}} \\
& \lesssim K_{\mu, w} \sum_{j}\left(\int_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)}|f(\zeta)|^{p} w(\zeta) d m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, using Minkowski inequality and the finite multiplicity $N$ of the covering $\left\{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{q} d \mu(z) & \lesssim K_{\mu, w}\left(\sum_{j} \int_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)}|f(\zeta)|^{p} w(\zeta) d m(\zeta)\right)^{q / p} \\
& \lesssim K_{\mu, w} N^{q / p}\|f\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

proving that $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)$ is continuous with $\left\|I_{d}\right\|_{A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)}^{q} \lesssim K_{\mu, w}$.
4.2. Proof of (I): compactness. Suppose that (1.2) holds. Fixed $\delta \in$ $\left(0, m_{\tau}\right)$, consider the covering $\left\{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right\}\right.$ given by Lemma C, and let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $A^{p}(w)$. By Lemma $2,\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded on compact sets, and by Montel's theorem $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a normal family. Then we may extract a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converging uniformly on compact sets of $\mathbb{D}$ to some function $f$. Using Fatou's lemma, it is easy to see that $f$ must be in $A^{p}(w)$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, fix $0<r_{0}<1$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|a|>r_{0}} \frac{1}{\tau(a)^{2 q / p}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z)<\varepsilon . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that there is $r_{0}^{\prime}<1$ with $r_{0} \leq r_{0}^{\prime}$ such that if a point $z_{k}$ of the sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ belongs to $\left\{|z| \leq r_{0}\right\}$, then $D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right) \subset\left\{|z| \leq r_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$. So, take $n_{k}$ big enough such that $\sup _{|z| \leq r_{0}^{r}}\left|f_{n_{k}}(z)-f(z)\right|<\varepsilon$. Then, setting
$g_{n_{k}}=f_{n_{k}}-f$, and arguing as in (4.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{n_{k}}\right\|_{L^{q}(\mu)}^{q} & \leq \int_{|z| \leq r_{0}^{\prime}}\left|g_{n_{k}}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z)+\sum_{\left|z_{j}\right|>r_{0}} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)}\left|g_{n_{k}}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z) \\
& \leq \sup _{|z| \leq r_{0}^{\prime}}\left|g_{n_{k}}(z)\right|^{q} \mu(\mathbb{D})+\sum_{\left|z_{j}\right|>r_{0}} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)}\left|g_{n_{k}}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z) \\
& \leq C \varepsilon+C\left\|g_{n_{k}}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{q} \sup _{\left|z_{j}\right|>r_{0}} \frac{1}{\tau\left(z_{j}\right)^{2 q / p}} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{j}\right)\right)} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z) \\
& <C \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last inequality we use (4.2) and the fact that $\left\{f_{n_{k}}-f\right\}$ is also a bounded sequence in $A^{p}(w)$. This proves that $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)$ is compact.

Conversely, suppose that $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)$ is compact. Take the smallest natural number $n_{0}$ such that $n_{0} p \geq 1$ and let

$$
f_{a, n_{0}, p}(z)=\frac{F_{a, n_{0}, p}(z)}{\tau(a)^{2 / p}}, \quad \rho_{0} \leq|a|<1,
$$

where $\rho_{0} \in(0,1)$ and $F_{a, n_{0}, p}$ are obtained from Lemma 4. By Corollary 1, $\sup _{|a| \geq \rho_{0}}\left\|f_{a, n_{0}, p}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)} \leq C$, and the compactness of the identity operator implies that $\left\{f_{a, n_{0}, p}: \rho_{0} \leq|a|<1\right\}$ is a compact set in $L^{q}(\mu)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{r<|z|<1}\left|f_{a, n_{0}, p}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z)=0 \quad \text { uniformly in } a \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the estimate (3.2) gives

$$
\left|f_{a, n_{0}, p}(z)\right|^{p} w(z) \lesssim \frac{\tau(a)^{3 n_{0} p-2}}{(1-r)^{3 n_{0} p}}, \quad|z| \leq r, \quad|a| \geq \frac{1+r}{2}
$$

Thus $f_{a, n_{0}, p} \rightarrow 0$ as $|a| \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$, which together with (4.3) implies that $\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\|f_{a, n_{0}, p}\right\|_{L^{q}(\mu)}=0$. Therefore, using the estimate (3.1) of Lemma 4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{|a|>r} \frac{1}{\tau(a)^{2 q / p}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z) & \lesssim \sup _{|a|>r} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))}\left|f_{a, n_{0}, p}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z) \\
& \leq \sup _{|a|>r}\left\|f_{a, n_{0}, p}\right\|_{L^{q}(\mu)}^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

and this tends to zero as $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, completing the proof.
4.3. Proof of (II). The implication $(a) \Rightarrow(b)$ is obvious. To prove that $(b) \Rightarrow(c)$, we use an argument of Luecking (see [20]). Let $\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ be the sequence of points in $\mathbb{D}$ from Lemma C. Let $n$ be a positive integer such that $n \geq \max (1 / p, p)$, and for an arbitrary sequence $\left\{a_{k}\right\} \in \ell^{p}$, consider the function

$$
G_{t}(z)=\sum_{z_{k}:\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}} a_{k} r_{k}(t) \frac{F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2 / p}}, \quad 0<t<1
$$

where $r_{k}(t)$ is a sequence of Rademacher functions (see page 336 of [20], or Appendix A of [12]). By Proposition 1, the function $G_{t}$ belongs to $A^{p}(w)$ with

$$
\left\|G_{t}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)} \leq C\left(\sum_{k}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Thus, condition (b) gives

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|G_{t}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z) \leq C\left(\sum_{k}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{q / p}, \quad 0<t<1
$$

Integrating with respect to $t$ from 0 to 1 , applying Fubini's theorem, and invoking Khinchine's inequality (see [20]), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\sum_{z_{k}:\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{2}}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{4 / p}}\right)^{q / 2} d \mu(z) \leq C\left(\sum_{k}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{q / p} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta \in\left(0, m_{\tau}\right)$. If $\chi_{E}(z)$ denotes the characteristic function of a set $E$, bearing in mind the estimate (3.1), and the finite multiplicity $N$ of the covering $\left\{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)\right\}$ (see (iv) of Lemma C), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{z_{k}:\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|^{q}}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{\frac{2 q}{p}}} \int_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{z_{k}:\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|^{q}}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{\frac{2 q}{p}}} \int_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum_{z_{k}:\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|^{q}}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{\frac{2 q}{p}}}\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{q} \chi_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}(z) d \mu(z) \\
& \leq \max \left\{1, N^{1-q / 2}\right\} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\sum_{z_{k}:\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{\left|F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z)\right|^{2}}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{4 / p}}\right)^{q / 2} d \mu(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with (4.4) yields

$$
\sum_{\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|^{q}}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{\frac{2 q}{p}}} \int_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z) \leq C\left(\sum_{k}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{q / p}
$$

Since the sequence $\left\{a_{k}\right\} \in \ell^{p}$ is arbitrary and $\frac{p}{q}>1$, if we put $b_{k}=\left|a_{k}\right|^{q}$, then using the duality between $\ell^{\frac{p}{q}}$ and $\ell^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$ we conclude that the sequence

$$
\left\{\frac{1}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{\frac{2 q}{p}}} \int_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z)\right\}_{\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}}
$$

belongs to $\ell^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}} \int_{D\left(3 \alpha \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}<\infty \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that there is $\rho_{1}<1$, with $\rho_{0} \leq \rho_{1}$ such that if a point $z_{k}$ of the sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ belongs to $\left\{|z|<\rho_{0}\right\}$, then $D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right) \subset\left\{|z|<\rho_{1}\right\}$. Therefore, using Lemma 1, (ii) and (iii) of Lemma C, and (4.5) we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|z| \geq \rho_{1}} & \left(\frac{1}{\tau(z)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(z))} w(\zeta)^{-q / p} d \mu(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} d m(z) \\
& \leq \sum_{\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\tau(z)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(z))} w(\zeta)^{-q / p} d \mu(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} d m(z) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}\left(\int_{D(\delta \tau(z))} w(\zeta)^{-q / p} d \mu(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} d m(z) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\left|z_{k}\right| \geq \rho_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}} \int_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)} w(\zeta)^{-q / p} d \mu(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with the fact that the integral

$$
\int_{|z|<\rho_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau(z)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(z))} w(\zeta)^{-q / p} d \mu(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} d m(z)
$$

is clearly finite, proves that (c) holds.
Finally, we are going to prove that (c) implies (a). The argument is standard, but it is included for the sake of completeness. It is enough to prove that if $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $A^{p}(w)$ that converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{q}(d \mu)}=0$.

Let $\delta \in\left(0, m_{\tau}\right)$. Bearing in mind (2.1), we deduce that for any $r>\frac{1}{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(\frac{\delta}{2} \tau(z)\right) \subset\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}:|\zeta|>\frac{r}{2}\right\}, \quad \text { if } \quad|z|>r \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$
\left|f_{n}(z)\right|^{q} \leq C \frac{w(z)^{-q / p}}{\tau(z)^{2}} \int_{D\left(\frac{\delta}{2} \tau(z)\right)}\left|f_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{q} w(\zeta)^{q / p} d m(\zeta)
$$

Integrate respect to $d \mu$, apply Fubini's theorem, use (4.6) and Lemma 1 to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|z|>r\}}\left|f_{n}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z)  \tag{4.7}\\
& \leq C \int_{\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|z|>r\}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau(z)^{2}} \int_{D\left(\frac{\delta}{2} \tau(z)\right)}\left|f_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{q} w(\zeta)^{q / p} d m(\zeta)\right) w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z) \\
& \leq C \int_{\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}:\left||\zeta|>\frac{r}{2}\right\}\right.}\left|f_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{q} w(\zeta)^{q / p}\left(\int_{D(\delta \tau(\zeta))} \frac{w(z)^{-q / p}}{\tau(z)^{2}} d \mu(z)\right) d m(\zeta) \\
& \leq C \int_{\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}:|\zeta|>\frac{r}{2}\right\}}\left|f_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{q} w(\zeta)^{q / p}\left(\frac{1}{\tau(\zeta)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(\zeta))} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z)\right) d m(\zeta) .
\end{align*}
$$

If condition (c) holds, then for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$, there is $r_{0} \in(0,1)$, such that

$$
\int_{\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}:|\zeta|>\frac{r_{0}}{2}\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau(\zeta)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(\zeta))} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} d m(\zeta)<\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-q}}
$$

Then (4.7) and an application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}:|z|>r_{0}\right\}}\left|f_{n}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z)  \tag{4.8}\\
& \leq C\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{q}\left(\int_{\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}:|\zeta|>\frac{r_{0}}{2}\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau(\zeta)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(\zeta))} w(z)^{-q / p} d \mu(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} d m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon \sup \left\|f_{n}\right\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{q} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, since $\left\{|z| \leq r_{0}\right\}$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|z| \leq r_{0}}\left|f_{n}(z)\right|^{q} d \mu(z)=0
$$

which together with (4.8), gives

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{q}(d \mu)}=0
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

5.1. Proof of (I) and (II). Let $0<p \leq q<\infty$, and let $\delta \in\left(0, m_{\tau}\right)$. Since $T_{g} f(0)=0$ and $\left(T_{g} f\right)^{\prime}(z)=f(z) g^{\prime}(z)$, Theorem B gives

$$
\left\|T_{g} f\right\|_{A^{q}(w)}^{q} \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{q}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{q} \psi_{w}(z)^{q} w(z) d m(z)
$$

Therefore, the boundedness of the integration operator $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w)$ is equivalent to the continuity of the embedding $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}\left(\mu_{g, w}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mu_{g, w}(z)=\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{q} \psi_{w}(z)^{q} w(z) d m(z) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 1, this holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(q, p, a)<\infty \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
I(q, p, a)=\frac{1}{\tau(a)^{2 q / p}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{q} \psi_{w}(z)^{q} w(z)^{1-q / p} d m(z)
$$

Suppose that $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w)$ is bounded. Note that, bearing in mind that $\tau(z)^{2} \asymp(\Delta \varphi(z))^{-1}$ and using Lemma 1, condition (1.6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{w}(z) \asymp \frac{\tau(z)^{2}}{(1-|z|)^{t}} \asymp \frac{\tau(a)^{2}}{(1-|a|)^{t}} \asymp \psi_{w}(a) \quad \text { if } \quad z \in D(\delta \tau(a)) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $s=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$. Then, using Lemma $2\left(\right.$ with $\left.\beta=1-\frac{q}{p}\right)$ and Corollary 1 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\psi_{w}(a)\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2 s}\left|g^{\prime}(a)\right|\right)^{q} & \lesssim \frac{\psi_{w}(a)^{q}\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2 q s}}{\tau(a)^{2} w(a)^{1-\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{q} w(z)^{1-\frac{q}{p}} d m(z) \\
& \lesssim \frac{\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2 q s}}{\tau(a)^{2} w(a)^{1-\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} w(z)^{-\frac{q}{p}} d \mu_{g, w}(z) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau(a)^{2 q / p}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))} w(z)^{-\frac{q}{p}} d \mu_{g, w}(z)=I(q, p, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w)$ is bounded, it follows from (5.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \psi_{w}(a)\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2 s}\left|g^{\prime}(a)\right|<\infty \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q=p$ then $s=0$, and (5.4) proves that if $T_{g}$ is bounded on $A^{p}(w)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \psi_{w}(a)\left|g^{\prime}(a)\right|<\infty \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if (5.5) holds, then it follows directly that $\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(p, p, a)<\infty$. Thus $T_{g}$ is bounded on $A^{p}(w)$, and the proof of part $(a)$ of $(I)$ is complete.

If $0<p<q<\infty$, we are going to show that condition (5.4) implies $g^{\prime} \equiv 0$. To prove this, it is enough to see that $\psi_{w}(a)\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2 s}$ goes to infinity as $|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}$. By Corollary 1 and condition (1.6)

$$
\psi_{w}(a)\left\|K_{a}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2 s} \asymp \frac{\tau(a)^{2-2 s}}{(1-|a|)^{t} w(a)^{s}},
$$

and this tends to infinity as $|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}$by Lemma 3 . This finishes the proof of $(I I)$, since the other implication is trivial.

Concerning the compactness part (b) of (I), note that using Theorem B, the compactness of the operator $T_{g}$ on $A^{p}(w)$ is equivalent to the compactness of the embedding $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mu_{g, w}\right)$, where $\mu_{g, w}$ is the measure defined by (5.1) with $q=p$. By part ( $I$ ) of Theorem 1 , this holds if and only if

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sup _{|a|>r} \frac{1}{\tau(a)^{2}} \int_{D(\delta \tau(a))}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} \psi_{w}(z)^{p} d m(z)=0
$$

and proceeding as in the boundedness part, we see that this is equivalent to

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sup _{|a|>r} \psi_{w}(a)\left|g^{\prime}(a)\right|=0 .
$$

5.2. Proof of (III). By Theorem B one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{g} f\right\|_{A^{q}(w)}^{q} \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{q}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{q} \psi_{w}(z)^{q} w(z) d m(z) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.6), we have that $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w)$ is bounded if and only the embedding $I_{d}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow L^{q}\left(\mu_{g, w}\right)$ is bounded, where $\mu_{g, w}$ is the measure defined by (5.1). Thus the equivalence $(a) \Leftrightarrow(b)$ follows from part (II) of Theorem 1.
$(b) \Rightarrow(c)$. We also use part $(I I)$ of Theorem 1 to assert that $(b)$ is equivalent to

$$
C_{g, w}:=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau(z)^{2}} \int_{D(\tau(z))}\left|g^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{q} \psi_{w}(\zeta)^{q} w(\zeta)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} d m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} d m(z)<\infty
$$

Now, using Theorem B, Lemma 2 and (5.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|g\|_{A^{r}(w)}^{r} & \asymp|g(0)|^{r}+\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{q} \psi_{w}(z)^{q} w(z)^{q / r}\right)^{r / q} d m(z) \\
& \lesssim|g(0)|^{r}+C_{g, w} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(c) \Rightarrow(b)$. If $g \in A^{r}(w)$, then (5.6), Hölder's inequality and Theorem B gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{g} f\right\|_{A^{q}(w)}^{q} & \lesssim\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p} w(z) d m(z)\right)^{q / p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{r} \psi_{w}(z)^{r} w(z) d m(z)\right)^{q / r} \\
& \lesssim\|g\|_{A^{r}(w)}^{q}\|f\|_{A^{p}(w)}^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $T_{g}: A^{p}(w) \rightarrow A^{q}(w)$ is bounded with $\left\|T_{g}\right\| \lesssim\|g\|_{A^{r}(w)}$. This finishes the proof.

If $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space $H$ of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ with reproducing kernel $K_{z}$, then

$$
K_{z}(\zeta)=\sum_{n} e_{n}(\zeta) \overline{e_{n}(z)}
$$

for all $z$ and $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{D}$ (see e.g. [28, Theorem 4.19]). It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n}\left|e_{n}(z)\right|^{2} \leq\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{H}^{2} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any orthonormal set $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ of $H$. Also, by (6) we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} K_{z}(\zeta)=\sum_{n} \overline{e_{n}^{\prime}(z)} e_{n}(\zeta), \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Thus Parseval's identity gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} K_{z}\right\|_{H}^{2}=\sum_{n}\left|e_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we are going to give the proof of Theorem 3 on the description of the Schatten classes $\mathcal{S}_{p}:=\mathcal{S}_{p}\left(A^{2}(w)\right)$. First we consider the sufficiency part of the case $1<p<\infty$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfying (1.6). Then

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} K_{z}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}}{\psi_{w}(r)}\right), \quad|z|=r
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ be the orthonormal basis of $A^{2}(w)$ given by

$$
e_{n}(z)=z^{n} \delta_{n}^{-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where $\delta_{n}^{2}=2 \int_{0}^{1} r^{2 n+1} w(r) d r$. Using Corollary 1 and (1.6), we have that

$$
\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{2 n} \delta_{n}^{-2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|e_{n}(z)\right|^{2}=\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2} \asymp(1-r)^{-t}\left(\int_{r}^{1} w(s) d s\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

for some $t \geq 1$. So, if we consider the analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ defined by

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n} \delta_{n}^{-1}
$$

then $M_{2}(r, f)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \asymp \Phi(r)$, as $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, where

$$
\Phi(r)=(1-r)^{-t / 2}\left(\int_{r}^{1} w(s) d s\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

Now, bearing in mind that $\psi_{w}(r) \leq(1-r)$ for a decreasing weight $w$, a calculation shows that

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(r) \asymp \frac{\Phi(r)}{\psi_{w}(r)}, \quad r \rightarrow 1^{-} .
$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that for $w \in \mathcal{W}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\Phi^{\prime \prime}(r) \Phi(r)}{\left(\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right)^{2}}<\infty \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can apply Theorem 2.1 of [23], which says that

$$
M_{2}\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right) \quad \text { if } \quad M_{2}(r, f)=\mathrm{O}(\Phi(r))
$$

when (6.3) holds (see condition (3.3) of [23]). Finally, since for $r=|z|$,

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} K_{z}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|e_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{2 n-2} \delta_{n}^{-2}=M_{2}^{2}\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} K_{z}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}=M_{2}\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right) \asymp \frac{\Phi(r)}{\psi_{w}(r)} \asymp \frac{\left\|K_{z}\right\|}{\psi_{w}(z)} \quad r \rightarrow 1^{-} .
$$

Proposition 2. Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D}), 1<p<\infty$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfying (1.6). If $\psi_{w}\left|g^{\prime}\right| \in L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, \Delta \varphi d m)$ then $T_{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}\left(A^{2}(w)\right)$.
Proof. By Theorem B, the inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{*}=f(0) \overline{g(0)}+\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\prime}(z) \overline{g^{\prime}(z)} \psi_{w}(z)^{2} w(z) d m(z)
$$

gives a norm on $A^{2}(w)$ equivalent to the usual one. If $1<p<\infty$, the operator $T_{g}$ belongs to the Schatten $p$-class $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{n}\left|\left\langle T_{g} e_{n}, e_{n}\right\rangle_{*}\right|^{p}<\infty
$$

for any orthonormal set $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ (see [28, Theorem 1.27]). Let $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal set of $\left(A^{2}(w),\langle,\rangle_{*}\right)$. Note that Theorem B gives

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|e_{n}(z) e_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \psi_{w}(z) w(z) d m(z) \asymp\left\|e_{n}^{2}\right\|_{A^{1}(w)} \asymp\left\|e_{n}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2}=1 .
$$

This together with Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n}\left|\left\langle T_{g} e_{n}, e_{n}\right\rangle_{*}\right|^{p} & \leq \sum_{n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z) e_{n}(z) e_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \psi_{w}(z)^{2} w(z) d m(z)\right)^{p} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{n} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p}\left|e_{n}(z) e_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \psi_{w}(z)^{p+1} w(z) d m(z) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p}\left(\sum_{n}\left|e_{n}(z) e_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right|\right) \psi_{w}(z)^{p+1} w(z) d m(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2} w(z) \asymp \Delta \varphi(z)$ (see Corollary 1), the result will be proved if we are able to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n}\left|e_{n}(z) e_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \lesssim \frac{\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2}}{\psi_{w}(z)} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (6.4), we use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality together with (6.1) and (6.2) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n}\left|e_{n}(z) e_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq\left(\sum_{n}\left|e_{n}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n}\left|e_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} K_{z}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the inequality (6.4) follows from Lemma 5. This completes the proof of the Proposition.

Now we turn to show the necessity for the case $0<p<\infty$.
Proposition 3. Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D}), 0<p<\infty$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfying (1.6). If $T_{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}\left(A^{2}(w)\right)$, then $\psi_{w}\left|g^{\prime}\right| \in L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, \Delta \varphi d m)$.

Proof. We split the proof in two cases.
Case $\mathbf{2} \leq \mathbf{p}<\infty$. Suppose that $T_{g}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{p}$, and let $\left\{e_{k}\right\}$ be an orthonormal set in $A^{2}(w)$ and $n \geq \max \{1 / p, p\}$. Let $\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ be the sequence from Lemma 4, and consider the operator $A$ taking $e_{k}(z)$ to $f_{z_{k}}(z)=$ $F_{z_{k}, n, p}(z) / \tau\left(z_{k}\right)$. It follows from Proposition 1 that the operator $A$ is bounded on $A^{2}(w)$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ is a two-sided ideal in the space of bounded linear operators on $A^{2}(w)$, then $T_{g} A$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ (see [28, p.27]). Thus, by [28, Theorem 1.33]

$$
\sum_{k}\left\|T_{g}\left(f_{z_{k}}\right)\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{p}=\sum_{k}\left\|T_{g} A e_{k}\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{p}<\infty
$$

This together with Lemma 4 and Theorem B gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k} \frac{1}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{p}} & \left(\int_{D\left(\tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \psi_{w}(z)^{2} d m(z)\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \asymp \sum_{k}\left(\int_{D\left(\tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}\left|f_{z_{k}}(z)\right|^{2}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \psi_{w}(z)^{2} w(z) d m(z)\right)^{p / 2}  \tag{6.5}\\
& \lesssim \sum_{k}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f_{z_{k}}(z)\right|^{2}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \psi_{w}(z)^{2} w(z) d m(z)\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \asymp \sum_{k}\left\|T_{g}\left(f_{z_{k}}\right)\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{p}<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, if $\delta$ is sufficiently small, applying Lemma 2, Lemma 1 and Lemma C and arguing as in (5.3), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} \psi_{w}(z)^{p} \Delta \varphi(z) d m(z) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{2}(z)} \int_{D(\delta \tau(z))}\left|g^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{2} d m(\zeta)\right)^{p / 2} \psi_{w}(z)^{p} \frac{d m(z)}{\tau^{2}(z)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k} \frac{1}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{p}} \int_{D\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}\left(\int_{\tilde{D}\left(\delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}\left|g^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \psi_{w}(\zeta)^{2} d m(\zeta)\right)^{p / 2} \frac{d m(z)}{\tau^{2}(z)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k} \frac{1}{\tau\left(z_{k}\right)^{p}}\left(\int_{D\left(3 \delta \tau\left(z_{k}\right)\right)}\left|g^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \psi_{w}(\zeta)^{2} d m(\zeta)\right)^{p / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with (6.5) concludes the proof.
Case $\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{p}<\mathbf{2}$. If $T_{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$ then the positive operator $T_{g}^{*} T_{g}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{p / 2}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $g^{\prime} \neq 0$. Suppose

$$
T_{g}^{*} T_{g} f=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}\left\langle f, e_{n}\right\rangle e_{n}
$$

is the canonical decomposition of $T_{g}^{*} T_{g}$. Then not only is $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ an orthonormal set, it is also an orthonormal basis. Indeed, if there is an unit vector $e \in A^{2}(w)$ such that $e \perp e_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}|e(z)|^{2} \psi_{w}(z)^{2} w(z) d m(z) \asymp\left\|T_{g} e\right\|_{A^{2}(w)}^{2}=\left\langle T_{g}^{*} T_{g} e, e\right\rangle=0
$$

because $T_{g}^{*} T_{g}$ is a linear combination of the vectors $e_{n}$. This would give $g^{\prime} \equiv 0$.

Since $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $A^{2}(w)$, then

$$
\left\|K_{z}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{n}\left|e_{n}(z)\right|^{2}
$$

This identity together with Corollary 1 and Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} \psi_{w}(z)^{p} & \Delta \varphi(z) d m(z) \\
& \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} \psi_{w}(z)^{p}\left\|K_{z}\right\|^{2} w(z) d m(z) \\
& =\sum_{n} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} \psi_{w}(z)^{p}\left|e_{n}(z)\right|^{2} w(z) d m(z) \\
& \leq \sum_{n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \psi_{w}(z)^{2}\left|e_{n}(z)\right|^{2} w(z) d m(z)\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{n}\left\langle T_{g}^{*} T_{g} e_{n}, e_{n}\right\rangle^{p / 2}=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{p / 2}=\left\|T_{g}^{*} T_{g}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{p / 2}}^{p / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Finally, we shall prove the main result in this section.

## Proof of Theorem 3.

Part (a) follows directly from Proposition 2 and Proposition 3. Moreover, if $0<p \leq 1$ and $T_{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}\left(A^{2}(w)\right)$, Proposition 3, (2.1) and (1.6) imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} d m(z)}{(1-|z|)^{t p}(1-|z|)^{2(1-p)}} & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} d m(z)}{(1-|z|)^{t p} \tau(z)^{2(1-p)}} \\
& \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} \frac{\Delta \varphi(z)^{1-p}}{(1-|z|)^{t p}} d m(z) \\
& \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} \psi_{\omega}(z)^{p} \Delta \varphi(z) d m(z)<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it follows that $(t-2) p+2 \geq 1$, and consequently $g^{\prime} \equiv 0$, which gives (b). The proof is complete.

## 7. Some examples of weights in the class $\mathcal{W}$

In this section, several examples of weights in the class $\mathcal{W}$ are given. We check that they satisfy the condition (1.6) in Theorem 2, and by computing the distorsion functions, we also offer the corresponding description for the boundedness and compactness of the integration operator $T_{g}$ in each case.

Example 1: The weights

$$
w_{\gamma, \alpha}(r)=(1-r)^{\gamma} \exp \left(\frac{-c}{(1-r)^{\alpha}}\right), \quad \gamma \geq 0, \alpha>0, c>0
$$

are in the class $\mathcal{W}$ with associated subharmonic function

$$
\varphi_{\gamma, \alpha}(z)=-\gamma \log (1-|z|)+c(1-|z|)^{-\alpha} .
$$

We have that

$$
\left(\Delta \varphi_{\gamma, \alpha}(z)\right)^{-1} \asymp \tau(z)^{2}=(1-|z|)^{2+\alpha}
$$

and it is easy to see that $\tau(z)$ satisfies the conditions in the definition of the class $\mathcal{W}$. Also, since $\psi_{w_{\gamma, \alpha}}(r) \asymp(1-r)^{1+\alpha}$ (see e.g. [26, Example 3.2]), (1.6) is satisfied with $t=1$. In particular, the case $q=p$ of Theorem 2 says that $T_{g}$ is bounded on $A^{p}\left(w_{\gamma, \alpha}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^{1+\alpha}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty
$$

and $T_{g}$ is compact on $A^{p}\left(w_{\gamma, \alpha}\right)$ whenever

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}(1-|z|)^{1+\alpha}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|=0
$$

As mentioned above, this answers a question raised in [4, p. 353]. We also note that, by Theorem 3, the operator $T_{g}$ belongs to the Schatten $p$-class
of $A^{2}\left(w_{\gamma, \alpha}\right)$ if and only if $g$ is in the Dirichlet-type space $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}^{p}$ with $\beta=$ $p-2+\alpha(p-1)$, that is, the space of those $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta} d m(z)<\infty
$$

Example 2: For $\alpha>1$ and $A>0$ the weights

$$
w(r)=\exp \left(-A\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right)^{\alpha}\right)
$$

with associated subharmonic function $\varphi(z)=A\left(\log \frac{e}{1-|z|}\right)^{\alpha}$, belong to the class $\mathcal{W}$. Indeed, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi(z) \asymp(1-|z|)^{-2}\left(\log \frac{e}{1-|z|}\right)^{\alpha-1} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\tau(z)=(1-|z|)\left(\log \frac{e}{1-|z|}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}$, and since $\alpha>1$

$$
\tau^{\prime}(r) \asymp\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{-\alpha+1}{2}}, \quad r \rightarrow 1^{-}
$$

which implies that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \tau(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \tau^{\prime}(r)=0$. Moreover, the function $\tau(r)(1-r)^{-2}$ increases for $r$ close to 1 . This proves that $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

On the other hand, since $w$ has distorsion function (see [26, Example 3.4])

$$
\psi_{w}(r) \asymp \frac{1-r}{\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right)^{\alpha-1}}
$$

then (7.1) gives $(\Delta \varphi(z))^{-1} \asymp(1-|z|) \psi_{w}(z)$. Therefore, (1.6) is satisfied with $t=1$. For this weight, Theorem 2 says that $T_{g}$ is bounded on $A^{p}(w)$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)\left(\log \frac{e}{1-|z|}\right)^{1-\alpha}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty
$$

and $T_{g}$ is compact on $A^{p}(w)$ whenever the corresponding "little oh" condition holds.

Example 3: For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma>0$, the double exponential weight

$$
w(r)=\exp \left(-\gamma \exp \left(\frac{\beta}{(1-r)^{\alpha}}\right)\right)
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{W}$. Indeed, the associated subharmonic function is $\varphi(z)=$ $\gamma \exp \left(\frac{\beta}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}\right)$, and a straightforward computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi(z) \asymp(1-|z|)^{-2 \alpha-2} \exp \left(\frac{\beta}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}\right) . \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can take $\tau(z)=(1-|z|)^{\alpha+1} \exp \left(\frac{-\beta / 2}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}\right)$. Since

$$
\tau^{\prime}(r) \asymp \exp \left(\frac{-\beta / 2}{(1-r)^{\alpha}}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^{-}
$$

we obtain $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \tau(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \tau^{\prime}(r)=0$. Also, it is easy to see that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \tau^{\prime}(r) \log \frac{1}{\tau(r)}=0$. This proves that $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Moreover, since $w$ has distorsion function (see [26, Example 3.3])

$$
\psi_{w}(r) \asymp(1-r)^{1+\alpha} \exp \left(-\frac{\beta}{(1-r)^{\alpha}}\right),
$$

then $(7.2)$ gives $(\Delta \varphi(z))^{-1} \asymp(1-|z|)^{1+\alpha} \psi_{w}(z)$. So (1.6) is satisfied with $t=1+\alpha$. In this example, the case $q=p$ of Theorem 2 says that $T_{g}$ is bounded on $A^{p}(w)$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^{1+\alpha} \exp \left(-\frac{\beta}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}\right)\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty
$$

and $T_{g}$ is compact on $A^{p}(w)$ if the "little oh" condition holds.
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